NEWHALL RANCH SCOPING MEETING

NEWHALL MIDDLE SCHOOL
28900 HILLCREST PARKWAY
NEWHALL, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 24, 2005

REPORTED BY:

KARYN ABBOTT & ASSOCIATES

BY: KATHLEEN KENDALL

- 1 APPEARANCES:
- 2 AARON ALLEN PROJECT MANAGER
- 3 U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
- 4 JOHN DAVIDSON
 DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

5

6 CONNIE FARMER
U.R.S. CORPORATION

7

8 MATT CARPENTER NEWHALL LAND

9

10

- 11 COMMUNITY MEMBERS/SPEAKERS:
- 12 LYNNE SNEAD
- 13 KRIS OHLENKAMP
- 14 ILEENE ANDERSON
- 15 RON BOTTORFF
- 16 KATHRINE SQUIRES
- 17 TED MOORE
- 18 PATTI WALKER
- 19 BARBARA WAMPOLE
- 20 LYNNE PLAMBECK
- 21 TERESA SAVAIKIE
- 22 LISA FIMIANI

23

24

25

KARYN ABBOTT & ASSOCIATES (213) 749-1234

- 1 NEWHALL, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 24, 2005
- 2 6:30 P.M.
- -000-

4

- 5 MR. ALLEN: ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA
- 6 DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME, AND THE ARMY CORPS OF
- 7 ENGINEERS, I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME YOU TO THE NEWHALL
- 8 RANCH SCOPING MEETING.
- 9 MY NAME IS AARON ALLEN. I'M THE PROJECT
- 10 MANAGER FOR THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.
- 11 MR. JOHN DAVIDSON WILL BE REPRESENTING
- 12 THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TONIGHT. WE ARE THE
- 13 TWO LEAD AGENCIES.
- 14 OF COURSE, THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF
- 15 ENGINEERS HAS RESPONSIBILITY UNDER THE NATIONAL
- 16 ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT, AND SECTION 404 OF THE CLEAN
- 17 WATER ACT.
- 18 AND THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH
- 19 AND GAME HAS RESPONSIBILITY UNDER THE CALIFORNIA
- 20 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, AS WELL AS SECTION 1600 OF
- 21 THE FISH AND GAME CODE, AND THE CALIFORNIA ENDANGERED
- 22 SPECIES ACT.
- 23 AS PART OF THE SECTION 404 PROCESS, THE
- 24 U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR
- 25 EVALUATING THE DIRECT, INDIRECT, AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT

- 1 OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES ON WATERS OF THE UNITED
- 2 STATES.
- 3 WE ARE ALSO GOING TO BE LOOKING AT
- 4 NUMEROUS ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT DESIGN,
- 5 AS WELL AS WE HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLYING WITH
- 6 OTHER FEDERAL LAWS, SUCH AS THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT
- 7 AND SECTION 106 OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT.
- 8 WE ALSO ARE GOING TO BE DEVELOPING A
- 9 REORGANIZATION MEASURE AS PART OF THE E.I.S., AS WELL
- 10 AS THE 404B1 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS, TO LOOK AT WAYS TO
- 11 REDUCE IMPACT ON THE WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES.
- 12 UNDER THE 404B1 GUIDELINES, WHICH IS THE
- 13 PRINCIPLE COMPONENT OF THE SECTION 404 OF THE CLEAN
- 14 WATER ACT, THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS CAN ONLY ISSUE A
- 15 PERMIT FOR THE LEAST ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING
- 16 PRACTICABLE ALTERNATIVE.
- 17 WE ALSO HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY, UNDER THE
- 18 PUBLIC INTEREST COMPONENT. WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO ISSUE
- 19 A PERMIT THAT IS CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST. AND,
- 20 AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, WE ALSO HAVE TO COMPLY WITH ALL
- 21 PHYSICAL FEDERAL LAWS; SUCH AS, THE ENDANGERED SPECIES
- 22 ACT, AS WELL AS SECTION 106 OF THE HISTORICAL
- 23 PRESERVATION ACT.
- 24 NOW, FOR THE IMPORTANT PART. WHAT ARE
- 25 WE HERE FOR TONIGHT? AS PART OF THE SCOPING PROCESS,

- 1 WE ARE LOOKING FOR INPUT FROM THE PUBLIC ON WHAT
- 2 FACTORS SHOULD BE EXAMINED IN DETAIL AS PART OF THE
- 3 DRAFT D.I.S./D.I.R. FOR THE NEWHALL RANCH PACIFIC PLAN.
- 4 THE INPUT WE ARE LOOKING FOR TONIGHT,
- 5 ALTERNATIVES WE SHOULD CONSIDER TO THE PROPOSED
- 6 PROJECT, ALTERNATIVE WAYS OF DOING BANK STABILIZATION
- 7 ON ROAD CROSSINGS, FLOOD CONTROL FACILITIES. THOSE ARE
- 8 ALL OF THE TYPES OF INFORMATION THAT WE ARE LOOKING
- 9 FOR.
- 10 ANY SENSITIVE RESOURCES THAT YOU THINK
- 11 NEED TO BE EMPHASIZED AS PART OF THE DOCUMENT, ANY
- 12 IMPACT IN PARTICULAR THAT YOU THINK SHOULD BE
- 13 EMPHASIZED AS PART OF THE DRAFT D.I.S./D.I.R., THAT'S
- 14 WHAT THIS HEARING PROCESS IS FOR.
- 15 OTHER FACTORS THAT YOU MIGHT WANT TO
- 16 CONSIDER ARE PUBLIC INTEREST ISSUES; MAY BE, AIR
- 17 QUALITY, TRAFFIC, THAT NEED TO BE EMPHASIZED AS PART OF
- 18 THE DOCUMENT.
- 19 ONE THING I WANT TO EMPHASIZE IS THAT AS
- 20 PART OF THE SCOPING PROCESS, THE CORPS OF FISH AND GAME
- 21 ARE GOING TO CAREFULLY CONSIDER ALL COMMENTS THAT WE
- 22 GET TONIGHT. WE WILL INCORPORATE THEM AS PART OF THE
- 23 SCOPE OF ANALYSIS FOR THIS ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT.
- 24 WE WILL BE USING THIS INFORMATION TO
- 25 DEVELOP THE SCOPE FOR THE DOCUMENT. ONE THING YOU

- 1 SHOULD BE AWARE OF IS THAT ALL OF THIS INFORMATION IS
- 2 GOING TO BE PART OF THE PUBLIC RECORD.
- 3 ALSO, WHEN THE DRAFT D.I.S./D.I.R. COMES
- 4 OUT WITH THIS DOCUMENT, THERE WILL BE ANOTHER 60-DAY
- 5 COMMENT PERIOD, SO THIS ISN'T YOUR ONLY CHANCE TO
- 6 COMMENT ON THE PROJECT.
- 7 WE WILL ALSO BE HOLDING ANOTHER PUBLIC
- 8 HEARING DURING THE COMMENT PERIOD FOR THE DRAFT
- 9 D.I.S./D.I.R., SO THIS ISN'T YOUR ONLY CHANCE TO GIVE
- 10 PUBLIC TESTIMONY ON THIS PROJECT.
- 11 THIS IS THE FIRST STEP IN THE DRAFT
- 12 D.I.S./D.I.R., SO THERE WILL BE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY TO
- 13 TALK ABOUT THE ACTUAL ANALYSIS CONTAINED IN THE
- 14 DOCUMENT.
- 15 THE WAY THIS MEETING IS GOING TO RUN IS
- 16 IF YOU WISH TO GIVE TESTIMONY TO THE CORPS OF
- 17 ENGINEERS, YOU NEED TO FILL OUT A SPEAKER CARD, AND YOU
- 18 CAN GET THAT AT THE BACK TABLE. MAKE SURE YOU HAND
- 19 THAT SPEAKER CARD TO MYSELF OR ONE OF THE OTHER CORPS
- 20 REPRESENTATIVES.
- 21 JAY IS IN A BLUE SHIRT BEHIND THE BACK
- 22 TABLE. HE WILL MAKE SURE THAT I GET, SO THAT YOU GET A
- 23 CHANCE TO GIVE PUBLIC TESTIMONY.
- 24 SO FAR I ONLY HAVE ABOUT EIGHT SHEETS,
- 25 SO I THINK WE CAN GIVE PEOPLE FIVE MINUTES TO SPEAK

- 1 TONIGHT. SO YOU WILL HAVE MORE TIME TO PROVIDE
- 2 COMMENTS IF YOU WISH TO USE IT. I WOULD ASK THAT YOU
- 3 DO KEEP YOUR COMMENTS TO FIVE MINUTES TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 4 EVERYBODY GETS A CHANCE TO TALK AND WE DON'T END UP
- 5 SAYING THE SAME THING MORE THAN ONCE.
- 6 ALSO THE WAY I'M GOING TO RUN THINGS, I
- 7 WOULD LIKE TO ANNOUNCE THE PERSON WHO IS GOING TO
- 8 SPEAK, AND THEN THE PERSON THAT IS ON DECK. THAT WAY I
- 9 DON'T CALL YOU AND IT'S A COMPLETE SURPRISE. YOU HAVE
- 10 SOME TIME TO COLLECT YOUR THOUGHTS AND THINK ABOUT WHAT
- 11 YOU WANT TO SAY.
- 12 WHEN I START, I WILL SAY ONE NAME AND
- 13 THEN A SECOND NAME FOR THE PERSON THAT'S ON DECK. ALSO
- 14 FOR THE COURT REPORTER, IF YOU COULD PLEASE STATE YOUR
- 15 NAME FOR THE RECORD BEFORE YOU START YOUR COMMENTS TO
- 16 MAKE SURE THAT SHE CAN GET YOUR NAME AND ATTACH THE
- 17 RIGHT TO WHATEVER PUBLIC TESTIMONY YOU'RE PROVIDING.
- 18 WE WILL ACCEPT WRITTEN COMMENTS UNTIL
- 19 SEPTEMBER 5TH. SO YOU DON'T HAVE TO PROVIDE YOUR
- 20 WRITTEN COMMENTS TONIGHT. YOU DO HAVE OVER A WEEK
- 21 AFTER THIS DATE TO GET PUBLIC COMMENTS TO US. THE
- 22 ADDRESS TO SEND IT TO IS ON THE PUBLIC NOTICE THAT IS
- 23 AVAILABLE IN THE BACK.
- 24 AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, EVERYTHING THAT
- 25 YOU SAY HERE TONIGHT WILL BE PART OF THE PUBLIC RECORD.

- 1 WE HOPE TO HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THIS PUBLIC HEARING
- 2 AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE BY OCTOBER. YOU CAN DOWNLOAD
- 3 IT IN P.D.F. FORMAT.
- 4 AND AT THIS POINT, I THINK I'M GOING TO
- 5 INTRODUCE CONNIE FARMER, WHO IS FROM THE U.R.S.
- 6 CORPORATION, WHO IS GOING TO GIVE AN OVERVIEW OF THE
- 7 NEWHALL RANCH PROJECT AND ESPECIALLY THE CHANGES THAT
- 8 HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE LAST SCOPING MEETING.
- 9 MS. FARMER: THANK YOU, AARON.
- 10 AS AARON SAID, MY NAME IS CONNIE FARMER.
- 11 I'M WITH U.R.S. CORPORATION. WE HAVE BEEN RETAINED TO
- 12 PREPARE THE E.I.S./E.I.R. THAT AARON HAS MENTIONED TO
- 13 YOU.
- 14 WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS DOCUMENT AS
- 15 A LOT OF YOU KNOW FROM OUR LAST PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING,
- 16 AND IT'S BEEN AN ONGOING AND KIND OF EVOLVING PROCESS.
- 17 AS A RESULT OF THAT, I JUST KIND OF WANTED TO TOUCH
- 18 BRIEFLY ON OUR FIRST SCOPING MEETING WAS IN 2000, AND
- 19 THEN WE MET AGAIN LAST YEAR IN FEBRUARY.
- 20 AND WE'RE BACK HERE TONIGHT BECAUSE WE
- 21 HAVE IDENTIFIED SOME CHANGES TO THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION
- 22 THAT WE FELT NEEDED TO BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE PUBLIC
- 23 FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION. AND THAT IS THE PURPOSE AND
- 24 THE MAIN FOCUS OF THE MEETING THIS EVENING.
- 25 AS AARON MENTIONED, THE ISSUANCE OF

- 1 PERMITS FROM BOTH THE CORPS AND FISH AND GAME IS THE
- 2 KEY DRIVING FACTOR FOR NEEDING TO PREPARE THE
- 3 E.I.S./E.I.R. AND AS HE MENTIONED, IT WILL INCLUDE
- 4 ALTERNATIVES THAT AVOID AND MINIMIZE IMPACTS AND
- 5 PROVIDE MITIGATION MEASURES TO ALSO REDUCE IMPACTS.
- THIS DOCUMENT WILL ALSO BE A KEY TOOL
- 7 FOR THE AGENCIES IN THEIR PERMIT-ISSUING AND
- 8 DECISION-MAKING PROCESS.
- 9 WHAT I WANT TO FOCUS ON THIS EVENING IS
- 10 THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION AS THEY
- 11 RELATE TO THE ISSUE OF SPINEFLOWER, WHICH IS A
- 12 STATED-LISTED ENDANGERED SPECIES AND A FEDERAL SPECIES
- 13 OF CONCERN.
- 14 FISH AND GAME, AS WE HAVE WORKED THROUGH
- 15 THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS, HAS IDENTIFIED A NEED TO LOOK
- 16 AT SPINEFLOWER ACROSS ALL OF NEWHALL'S HOLDINGS,
- 17 INCLUDING THE SPECIFIC PLAN AREA, BUT ALSO OTHER LANDS
- 18 WITHIN L.A. COUNTY THAT NEWHALL OWNS, AND COME UP WITH
- 19 A MANAGEMENT APPROACH THAT ADDRESSES SPINEFLOWER IN A
- 20 MORE COMPREHENSIVE WAY AS OPPOSED TO A
- 21 PROJECT-BY-PROJECT APPROACH.
- 22 SO THAT IS REALLY THE GIST OF WHAT WE
- 23 ARE HERE TO TALK ABOUT TONIGHT.
- 24 THE PROPOSED CHANGES INCLUDE THE
- 25 PREPARATION OF A SPINEFLOWER CONSERVATION PLAN, WHICH

- 1 WOULD ENCOMPASS BOTH THE SPECIFIC PLAN AREA, WHICH IS
- 2 THIS BOUNDARY THAT WE ARE ALL FAMILIAR WITH, AND IT
- 3 WILL ALSO INCLUDE VALENCIA COMMENCE CENTER, WHERE
- 4 SPINEFLOWER HAS ALSO BEEN IDENTIFIED, AND IN PARCELS
- 5 HERE WHICH ACTUALLY WRAPS AROUND MAGIC MOUNTAIN,
- 6 CONCURRENTLY REFERRED TO AS THE ENTRADA PROJECT.
- 7 WE HAVE IDENTIFIED SPINEFLOWER IN THIS
- 8 AREA AS WELL. AND SO FISH AND GAME'S DESIRED IS TO
- 9 DEVELOP A COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN, DEVELOP
- 10 PRESERVES FOR SPINEFLOWER THAT ADDRESSES MANY ISSUES.
- 11 AND WE WILL GET TO THAT IN JUST A MINUTE.
- 12 IN CONCERT WITH THAT, THE U.S. FISH AND
- 13 WILDLIFE SERVICE AT NEWHALL RANCH OR NEWHALL LAND AND
- 14 FARMING WILL ENTER INTO A CANDIDATE CONSERVATION
- 15 AGREEMENT WITH ASSURANCES FOR SAN FERNANDO VALLEY
- 16 SPINEFLOWER.
- 17 AT THE FISH AND GAME LEVEL THE OBJECTIVE
- 18 IS TO ISSUE ONE 2081 PERMIT FOR SPINEFLOWER FOR ALL
- 19 NEWHALL HOLDINGS. AND THEN THE CANDIDATE CONSERVATION
- 20 AGREEMENT WITH ASSURANCES JUST REENFORCES THAT AT THE
- 21 FEDERAL LEVEL.
- 22 I WANT TO TALK BRIEFLY ABOUT WHAT THE
- 23 STATE ACTION IS. THE STATE ACTION IS THE ISSUANCE OF
- 24 THE 2081 PERMIT FOR SPINEFLOWER, AND ALSO THE APPROVAL
- 25 OF THE SPINEFLOWER CONSERVATION PLAN, WHICH HAS BEEN AN

- 1 ON-GOING PROCESS. IT'S BEING PREPARED BY DUDECK
- 2 (PHONETIC) AND ASSOCIATES, WHO IS WORKING VERY CLOSELY
- 3 WITH FISH AND GAME STAFF AND WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM
- 4 NEWHALL TO COME TO A DOCUMENT THAT REALLY SERVES THE
- 5 PURPOSE OF PRESERVING SPINEFLOWER WITHIN THE PROJECT
- 6 AREA.
- 7 THE CONSERVATION PLAN GOAL IS TO DEVELOP
- 8 A MANAGEMENT PRESERVATION FRAMEWORK THAT PROVIDES FOR
- 9 THE PERSISTENCE OF SPINEFLOWER WITHIN NEWHALL LAND
- 10 HOLDINGS FOR AT LEAST 50 YEARS. AND THERE ARE SEVERAL
- 11 OBJECTIVES THAT NEED TO BE ACHIEVED IN ORDER TO SUCCEED
- 12 WITH THAT GOAL.
- 13 THESE OBJECTIVES -- I WILL DESCRIBE
- 14 BRIEFLY UP HERE ON THE SLIDES -- ARE TAKEN DIRECTLY
- 15 FROM THE GRASS SPINEFLOWER CONSERVATION PLAN IN ITS
- 16 CURRENT STATE AND IT'S STILL BEING WORKED ON BY BOTH
- 17 FISH AND GAME AND DUDECK, AND WILL EVENTUALLY BE AN
- 18 APPENDIX TO OUR E.I.S./E.I.R. SO IT WILL BE PART OF
- 19 THE PUBLIC RECORD AND AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW WHEN THE
- 20 DRAFT COMES OUT.
- 21 THE KEY TO IT IS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A
- 22 SERIES OF PRESERVES FOR SPINEFLOWER. THAT WILL
- 23 MAXIMIZE ITS LONG-TERM PERSISTENCE. THESE PRESERVES
- 24 ALSO NEED TO HAVE ELEMENTS TO THEM THAT ENCOURAGE THE
- 25 POLLINATORS AND THE DISPERSAL AGENTS -- THE BUGS AND

- 1 BUNNIES THAT GET IN THERE AND SPREAD THOSE TEENY, TINY
- 2 LITTLE SEEDS THAT ALLOW SPINEFLOWER TO CONTINUE AS WE
- 3 HAVE NOW FOUND IT.
- 4 IN ADDITION, THE PRESERVES WILL ALSO
- 5 ALLOW FOR RESTORATION OF DAMAGED AND DEGRADED HABITANT
- 6 WITHIN THOSE BOUNDARIES.
- 7 THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SITE-SPECIFIC
- 8 BUFFERS, WHICH WILL -- THE PURPOSE OF IT IS TO LIMIT
- 9 THE EFFECTS OF SURROUNDING DEVELOPMENT.
- 10 THEN MAKE TO SURE THAT THERE IS
- 11 CONNECTIVITY BETWEEN THE PRESERVES SO THAT THERE IS THE
- 12 ABILITY FOR CROSS-POLLINATION AND THOSE KINDS OF
- 13 ACTIVITIES TO HAPPEN.
- 14 AND THEN INCLUDED WITHIN THE PRESERVE
- 15 CORE, OCCURRENCES MAXIMIZE GENETIC DIVERSITY AND
- 16 OVERALL POPULATION SIZE WHILE CAPTURING THE RANGE OF
- 17 ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS WHERE THE SPECIES IS FOUND.
- 18 BASICALLY THAT MEANS TO OPTIMIZE THE HABITAT. IN
- 19 ADDITION, IT WILL PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESTORATION
- 20 AND IN SOME CASES THE INTRODUCTION OF NEW OCCURRENCES.
- THERE MAY BE A NEED TO TRANSPLANT.
- 22 THERE MAY BE A NEED TO SEED BLANK. ALL OF THOSE KINDS
- OF THINGS WILL BE ADDRESSED IN THE CONSERVATION PLAN.
- 24 IN ADDITION, THE PRESERVES NEED TO BE
- 25 ABLE TO FUNCTION IN A WAY THAT ALLOWS FOR FLUCTUATION,

- 1 WHICH, YOU KNOW, WE ARE JUST STARTING TO LEARN ABOUT
- 2 SPINEFLOWER AND WHAT ITS CHARACTERISTICS ARE AND WHAT
- 3 ITS NEEDS ARE, AND DEVELOP THESE PRESERVES IN A WAY
- 4 THAT ALLOWS FOR FLUCTUATION AND POLLENAZATION.
- 5 AN ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT APPROACH IS FISH
- 6 AND GAME'S DESIRE RIGHT NOW, IN TERMS OF DEALING WITH
- 7 THE ISSUE OF HOW TO ENSURE SPINEFLOWER'S SUCCESS OVER
- 8 THE NEXT 50 YEARS.
- 9 THIS WILL INCLUDE OUARTERLY MONITORING
- 10 FOR THE NEXT 10 YEARS, ANNUAL SURVEYS FOR CENSUS
- 11 COUNTS, AND THEN AN EVALUATION AFTER THOSE CENSUS
- 12 COUNTS TO LOOK AT WHAT THE CHANGES ARE FROM YEAR TO
- 13 YEAR.
- 14 THEN IN ADDITION TO THAT, IN ORDER TO
- 15 FUND THIS, NEWHALL WILL BE PROVIDING MITIGATION FUNDING
- 16 FOR MAINTENANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND MONITORING ON AN
- 17 ONGOING BASIS. THAT IS THE STATE'S INVOLVEMENT WITH
- 18 THIS CHANGE.
- 19 THE FEDERAL PERMIT REQUIREMENTS ARE THAT
- 20 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE AND NEWHALL LAND AND
- 21 FARMING WILL ENTER INTO A CANDIDATE CONSERVATION
- 22 AGREEMENT WITH ASSURANCES FOR SAN FERNANDO VALLEY'S
- 23 SPINEFLOWER, WHICH IS NOT CURRENTLY A FEDERALLY LISTED
- 24 SPECIES.
- 25 WHAT THIS ALLOWS THE SERVICE IN NEWHALL

- 1 TO DO IS TO OPTIMIZE, AGAIN, THE SUCCESS OF SPINEFLOWER
- 2 AND GET TO A POINT WHERE THERE WON'T BE A NEED TO LIST
- 3 IT AS A FEDERALLY LISTED SPECIES. IT WILL BE PROLIFIC
- 4 AND ABUNDANT ENOUGH THAT THAT WON'T BE NECESSARY.
- 5 THIS AGREEMENT WOULD BE AUTHORIZED UNDER
- 6 THE PROVISIONS OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT UNDER
- 7 SECTION 10.
- 8 THE ELEMENTS OF THE C.C.A.A. WOULD
- 9 INCLUDE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SPINEFLOWER CONSERVATION
- 10 PLAN. THE POPULATION IS LOCATED ON NEWHALL LAND, WHICH
- 11 INCLUDES NEWHALL RANCH, V.C.C., AND ENTRADA.
- 12 IN ADDITION, THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE
- 13 SERVICE WOULD ISSUE AN ENHANCEMENT OF SURVIVAL PERMIT
- 14 UNDER SECTION 10A1A OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT.
- 15 IN THE EVENT THAT SPINEFLOWER IS
- 16 EVENTUALLY LISTED AS AN ENDANGERED SPECIES UNDER THE
- 17 FEDERAL LAW, THIS PERMIT WOULD ALLOW SOME TAKE WITHIN
- 18 NEWHALL LAND HOLDINGS BASED ON THE TERMS OF THE
- 19 AGREEMENT, WHICH THE CONSERVATION PLAN WILL PLAY A BIG
- 20 ROLE IN DEFINING.
- 21 SO AS WE MOVE FORWARD IN PREPARING THE
- 22 E.I.S./E.I.R., WE ARE GOING TO NOT ONLY BE LOOKING AT
- 23 THE ALTERNATIVES RELATED TO THE 404 1603 PERMITS
- 24 RELATED TO WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES AND STATE
- 25 JURISDICTION, BUT WE ARE ALSO GOING TO BE LOOKING AT A

- 1 VARIETY OF ALTERNATIVES RELATED TO SPINEFLOWER AND THE
- 2 DEVELOPMENT OF THESE PRESERVES, BOTH WITHIN THE
- 3 SPECIFIC PLAN AREA AND ENTRADA AND THE IMPACTS RELATED
- 4 TO THE COMMERCE CENTER.
- 5 THOSE WILL BE ANALYZED AND PRESENTED IN
- 6 THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENT IMPACT STATEMENT, ENVIRONMENT
- 7 IMPACT REPORT, ALONG WITH ALL OF THE OTHER ISSUES THAT
- 8 WERE ALSO EVALUATED.
- 9 SO WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE? WE GO BACK
- 10 AND WE'RE BUSY PREPARING THIS DOCUMENT THAT EVENTUALLY
- 11 WILL BE RELEASED TO YOU FOR REVIEW IN EARLY 2006. AND
- 12 AS AARON MENTIONED, AT THAT POINT, THERE WILL BE A
- 13 60-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR WRITTEN COMMENTS. ALL
- 14 OF THOSE COMMENTS WILL BE READ AND EVALUATED AND
- 15 ADDRESSED APPROPRIATELY.
- 16 THEN THE FINAL WOULD BE THE ISSUANCE OF
- 17 THE FINAL E.I.S./E.I.R. AND PERMIT DECISIONS FROM BOTH
- 18 AGENCIES IN LATE 2003.
- 19 THANK YOU.
- 20 MR. ALLEN: I'M NOT SURE IF EVERYBODY
- 21 CAN HEAR ME, BUT WE ONLY HAVE ONE MICROPHONE AND IT'S
- 22 PROBABLY MUCH MORE IMPORTANT FOR THOSE THAT ARE MAKING
- 23 THEIR COMMENTS TO HAVE A MICROPHONE THAN MYSELF. SO IF
- 24 YOU WILL JUST BEAR WITH ME, AND IF YOU HAVE ANY
- 25 PROBLEMS HEARING ME, JUST LET ME KNOW.

- 1 I APOLOGIZE TO THE VERY FIRST SPEAKER
- 2 BECAUSE YOU DON'T GET AS MUCH TIME TO PREPARE. LYNNE,
- 3 YOU'LL BE THE FIRST PERSON.
- 4 ON DECK IS KRIS OHLENKAMP. FOLLOWING
- 5 THE FIRST SPEAKER, YOU'LL BE SPEAKING NEXT. PLEASE
- 6 DON'T FORGET TO SAY YOUR FULL NAME BEFORE YOU START
- 7 YOUR COMMENTS. COME ON UP.
- 8 I DO HAVE A HANDY-DANDY TIMER. I'LL BE
- 9 TRYING TO KEEP AN UNOFFICIAL FIVE MINUTES, BUT IF
- 10 PEOPLE START GOING OVER, I'LL HAVE TO PULL THIS OUT.
- 11 BUT FOR NOW, I'LL JUST DO IT BY HAND BECAUSE WE DON'T
- 12 HAVE THAT MANY SPEAKERS TONIGHT.
- 13 THE FLOOR IS YOURS.
- 14 MS. SNEAD: OKAY. MY NAME IS LYNNE
- 15 SNEAD; L-Y-N-N-E, S-N-E-A-D. I LIVE IN VALENCIA, AND
- 16 I'VE LIVED THERE SINCE 1991.
- 17 I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE BUILDING OF
- 18 20,000 HOMES WEST OF THE 5. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE
- 19 SPECIES THERE THAT ARE VERY FRAGILE: THE STICKLEBACKS
- 20 AND THE ARROYO TOADS AND THE LEAST BELL'S VIREO. I'M
- 21 IN THE AUDUBON SOCIETY, AND I ENJOY LOOKING AT THESE
- 22 BIRDS. I JUST THINK THEY ARE A TREASURE, AND WE OUGHT
- 23 TO TRY TO PRESERVE THEIR AREA WHERE THEY LIVE AND THE
- 24 WATERWAYS TOO.
- 25 THERE IS A LOT OF OTHER SPECIES THAT WE

- 1 PROBABLY DON'T EVEN KNOW ABOUT. BUT I'M OPPOSED TO,
- 2 YOU KNOW, THE DESTRUCTION OF ALL OF THIS LAND. IT'S A
- 3 VALUABLE RESOURCE. I DON'T LIKE THE WAY THEY PUT
- 4 CONCRETE ON THE WATERWAYS HERE. AND I DON'T LIKE THE
- 5 WAY THAT THEY CLEAR THEM, WHICH I HAVE SEEN THEM DO BY
- 6 ORCHARD VILLAGE, JUST CUTTING DOWN EVERYTHING. AND I
- 7 KNOW THERE WERE LEAST BELL'S VIREO IN THAT AREA.
- 8 SO WHEN YOU CLEAR THOSE THINGS AND YOU
- 9 CONCRETE THEM AND YOU CLEAR THEM, YOU'VE DESTROYED
- 10 THEIR ENVIRONMENT, AND YOU'VE POSSIBLY DESTROYED THE
- 11 SPECIES.
- 12 SO I THINK WE SHOULD BE SENSITIVE TO
- 13 THAT WHEN YOU'RE CONSIDERING BUILDING HOMES AND DAMMING
- 14 UP THE RIVERS AND CONSTRUCTING ALL THESE NEW HOMES. I
- 15 COULD SEE WHY PEOPLE WANT TO LIVE HERE BECAUSE IT'S SO
- 16 BEAUTIFUL, BUT WE'RE CEMENTING IT ALL OVER.
- 17 I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE WATER. I'M
- 18 CONCERNED ABOUT THE SCHOOLS. I MEAN, WE BUILD HOMES
- 19 AND WE DON'T BUILD SCHOOLS. I MEAN, OUR HIGH SCHOOL
- 20 HERE IS I DON'T KNOW HOW OLD, AND IT HAS PORTABLE
- 21 CLASSROOMS. SO I THINK WE'RE NOT PLANNING TOO WELL.
- 22 IT'S RIDICULOUS. I MEAN, THE AMOUNT OF
- 23 PLANNING THAT GOES INTO THIS, AND WE ARE NOT THINKING
- 24 AHEAD FAR ENOUGH. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.

- 1 KRIS OHLENKAMP IS THE NEXT SPEAKER, AND ON
- 2 DECK IS ILEENE ANDERSON.
- 3 MR. OHLENKAMP: I'M KRIS OHLENKAMP. I'M
- 4 CURRENTLY THE PRESIDENT OF THE LOCAL CHAPTER OF THE
- 5 NATIONAL AUDUBON SOCIETY. OUR NUMBER ONE CONSERVATION
- 6 PRIORITY IS THE PRESERVATION AND RESTORATION OF THE
- 7 WILDLIFE HABITAT ALONG THE SANTA CLARA RIVER. AND NOW
- 8 THAT IS RECOGNIZED NATIONALLY AS ONE OF THE TEN MOST
- 9 ENDANGERED RIVERS IN THE ENTIRE COUNTRY, I THINK IT
- 10 SAYS SOMETHING ABOUT HOW YOUR TWO REGULATORY AGENCIES
- 11 THAT HAVE JURISDICTION OVER THIS TERRITORY HAVE BEEN
- 12 ACTING IN THE PAST.
- 13 AND I HOPE THAT NOW UNDER THIS SPOTLIGHT
- 14 OF THE ENTIRE COUNTRY HAVING A RIVER SO DESIGNATED,
- 15 WILL HOPEFULLY -- I HOPE THAT IT WILL HELP YOU ACT A
- 16 LITTLE MORE INTELLIGENTLY IN MANAGING THE NEWHALL LAND
- 17 AND FARMING.
- 18 I SPENT THE ENTIRE MORNING PULLING
- 19 INVASIVE WEEDS OUT OF THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS. AND
- 20 WHEN YOU INTRODUCE HOUSES AND BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES
- 21 IN A NATURAL HABITAT, YOU ARE GOING TO GET A LOT MORE
- 22 INVASIVE SPECIES BEING INTRODUCED.
- 23 I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT FOR
- 24 MITIGATION FOR THE IMPACTS OF THE LOSS OF THE
- 25 ENVIRONMENT THAT WILL TAKE PLACE WITH THIS DEVELOPMENT

- 1 THAT NEWHALL LAND AND FARMING BE REQUIRED TO PAY INTO
- 2 AN ANNUAL FUND FOR THE REMOVAL OF NONNATIVE INVASIVE
- 3 SPECIES.
- 4 HOPEFULLY THAT FUND WOULD BE
- 5 ADMINISTERED BY ONE OF YOUR AGENCIES OR, IF NOT THAT,
- 6 PERHAPS THE FRIENDS OF THE SANTA CLARA RIVER OR THE
- 7 CALIFORNIA PLANT SOCIETY OR EVEN THE AUDUBON SOCIETY
- 8 WOULD NOT MIND OVERSEEING THAT PROJECT.
- 9 NUMBER TWO, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE
- 10 MITIGATION FOR THE LOSS OF HABITAT IN THAT -- ALL OF
- 11 NEWHALL LAND AND FARMING'S PLANTINGS. AND WHATEVER
- 12 PLANTS THAT THEY PUT IN AS A PART OF THIS DEVELOPMENT
- 13 PROJECT BE NATIVE SPECIES -- BE REQUIRED TO BE NATIVE
- 14 SPECIES. IT'S DONE IN SEVERAL OTHER AREAS -- LAND
- 15 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS NOW. IT'S BEEN DONE SUCCESSFULLY
- 16 IN PORTER RANCH -- LARGE SECTIONS OF PORTER RANCH. AND
- 17 I SEE NO REASON WHY IT CAN'T BE DONE HERE.
- 18 OF COURSE, IF YOU SAY THAT 100 PERCENT
- 19 OF PLANTS BE MADE OF SPECIES, THEY WILL COME BACK WITH
- 20 AN OFFER OF 10, AND I'M SURE YOU'LL AGREE ON 30 PERCENT
- 21 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, BUT SOMETHING IS BETTER THAN
- 22 NOTHING.
- 23 AND THERE IS NOTHING RIGHT NOW AS FAR AS
- 24 MITIGATION FOR THE DESTRUCTION OR LOSS OF ALL THAT
- 25 HABITAT. THOSE ARE THE TWO ISSUES THAT WE WOULD LIKE

- 1 TO SEE ADDRESSED IN THIS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.
- 2 I'VE BEEN GONE FOR THE LAST THREE
- 3 MONTHS, SO I HAVEN'T HAD TIME TO PREPARE FOR THIS. I
- 4 JUST CAME BACK LAST WEEK. WE WILL SUBMIT WRITTEN
- 5 COMMENTS. THANK YOU.
- 6 MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.
- 7 ILEENE ANDERSON.
- 8 THEN THE NEXT SPEAKER WILL BE RON
- 9 BOTTORFF.
- 10 MS. ANDERSON: I'M ILEENE ANDERSON --
- 11 S-O-N AT THE END -- AND MY AFFILIATION IS WITH THE
- 12 CALIFORNIA NATIVE PLANT SOCIETY. AND I HAVE WRITTEN
- 13 COMMENTS TO TURN IN AS WELL, BUT I'LL REITERATE THEM
- 14 FOR THE RECORD NOW.
- 15 AT PER OUR FIRST SCOPING COMMENTS, I
- 16 WANTED TO, AGAIN, REITERATE THAT WE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 17 WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE UNDERTAKING, YOUR EVALUATION,
- 18 BECAUSE MANY OF THE PROPOSED FACILITIES, AS ARE LISTED
- 19 ON PAGE ONE AND TWO OF THE OVERVIEW, ARE DESIGNED TO
- 20 PERMANENTLY ELIMINATE PARTS OF SENSITIVE PLANT
- 21 COMMUNITIES. AND I HAVE A LIST OF THOSE THAT HAVE
- 22 POTENTIAL TO OCCUR OR ARE KNOWN TO OCCUR ON THE PROJECT
- 23 SITE.
- 24 I GUESS OUR MAIN CONCERN ABOUT THAT IS
- 25 CURRENTLY IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, AS OF 15 YEARS AGO,

- 1 WE ALREADY LOST 98 PERCENT OF OUR WETLANDS. AND WHY I
- 2 BRING THIS UP AGAIN TONIGHT AT THE MEETING IS BECAUSE
- 3 THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD HAD, IN THIS LAST YEAR,
- 4 CONTRACTED WITH AND HAD SOME RESEARCHERS OUT OF
- 5 U.C.L.A. ACTUALLY GO BACK AND LOOK AT THE SUCCESS OF
- 6 WETLAND MITIGATION IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. AND I HAVE
- 7 THAT REPORT OUOTED HERE, SO YOU CAN REFER TO THAT.
- 8 AND WHAT THEY FOUND WAS THAT 96 PERCENT
- 9 OF WETLAND MITIGATION SITES ARE SUBOPTIMAL TO POOR
- 10 CONDITIONS. MY CONCERN IS THAT CLEARLY THE CURRENT
- 11 REQUIREMENTS FOR MITIGATION AREN'T WORKING WELL.
- 12 SO, AGAIN, I AM REITERATING AND REQUEST
- 13 THAT YOU NOT ONLY DO A COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION OF THE
- 14 IMPACT, BUT ALSO UPDATE THE MITIGATION STRATEGY WITH
- 15 ENFORCEABLE TRIGGERS AND TIME LINES TO ACTUALLY ACHIEVE
- 16 MITIGATION SUCCESS. BECAUSE IT'S JUST APPALLING THAT
- 17 WE ARE NOT GETTING BETTER MITIGATION OUT OF ALL OF THE
- 18 EFFORT THAT'S BEING PUT IN.
- 19 WITH REGARDS TO ALTERNATIVES, IN MY
- 20 PREVIOUS COMMENTS, I DON'T THINK THAT I ACTUALLY
- 21 SUBMITTED ALTERNATIVES, AND SO I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST
- 22 A COUPLE OF ALTERNATIVES TONIGHT.
- 23 ONE OF THEM WOULD BE AN ALTERNATIVE THAT
- 24 FOCUSES ON NOT IMPACTING ALL OF THE TRIBUTARIES AND THE
- 25 MAIN STEM OF THE SANTA CLARA RIVER, AND THE SENSITIVE

- 1 RIPARIAN PLANT COMMUNITIES THAT THEY SUPPORT.
- 2 ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE TO NOT
- 3 IMPACT MIDDLE, POTRERO, SALT, CASTAIC, SAN MARTINEZ
- 4 GRANDE TRIBUTARIES AND THOSE ADJACENT PARTS OF THE
- 5 SANTA CLARA RIVER.
- 6 ALSO LACKING IN THE NEW OVERVIEW, I
- 7 WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST A DISCUSSION ON THE LOS ANGELES
- 8 SUNFLOWER, WHICH IS A SPECIES THAT IS PUNITIVELY
- 9 REPORTED TO OCCUR ON THIS PROJECT SITE, YET IT FAILS TO
- 10 BE MENTIONED IN THIS DOCUMENT, ANYWAY.
- 11 THAT'S WHAT I HAVE AS AN OVERVIEW OF THE
- 12 1605 PROCESS. AND NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE
- 13 SPINEFLOWER CONSERVATION PLAN.
- 14 IT'S INFREQUENT THAT WE HAVE AN
- 15 OPPORTUNITY TO CONSERVE THE SPECIES THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY
- 16 THOUGHT TO BE EXTINCT AND TO GUARANTEE THAT IT WON'T GO
- 17 EXTINCT IN THE FUTURE. VERY CAREFUL CRAFTING OF AN
- 18 ADAPTATIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN IS NECESSARY. SO LITTLE IS
- 19 KNOWN ABOUT THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY'S SPINEFLOWER'S
- 20 ECOLOGY (UNINTELLIGIBLE) -- CAN ONLY SEE A CONSERVATION
- 21 PLAN -- A CONSERVATIVE CONSERVATION PLAN BEING
- 22 IMPLEMENTED.
- 23 BASIC CONSERVATION BIOLOGY TENANTS NEED
- 24 TO BE INCORPORATED AND INCLUDE -- THIS IS FROM NOSS --
- 25 PRESERVATION OF THE SPECIES ACROSS ITS RANGE, LARGE

- 1 BLOCKS WITH LARGE POPULATIONS, CONTINUITY OF PRESERVE
- 2 AREAS, AND NOT JUST A PATCHWORK FROM HERE TO THERE, AND
- 3 CAPTURING SOME OF THE CORRIDOR ISSUES THAT YOU TALKED
- 4 ABOUT IN YOUR PRESENTATION.
- 5 CLEARLY CONTIGUOUS BLOCKS OF HABITAT ARE
- 6 MUCH BETTER THAN FRAGMENTING BLOCKS. ALL OF THESE ARE
- 7 BASIC CONSERVATION BIOLOGY TENANTS. CONNECTED BLOCKS
- 8 ARE BETTER THAN ISOLATED BLOCKS. BLOCKS OF HABITAT
- 9 THAT ARE OTHERWISE INACCESSIBLE TO HUMANS ARE BETTER.
- 10 IN THE SPECIFIC CASE OF THE SPINEFLOWER,
- 11 BECAUSE OF ITS ANNUAL LIFE CYCLE AND FLUCTUATING
- 12 NUMBERS, IT'S ECOLOGICALLY MORE VULNERABLE TO
- 13 EXTINCTION THAN ORDINANCES WITH SMALLER BUT MORE STABLE
- 14 POPULATION. SO THE CONSERVATION AREA OF EACH WILL
- 15 ALLOW FOR MOVEMENT OF THE POPULATIONS AROUND AND IN THE
- 16 CONSERVATION AREAS.
- 17 BOUNDARIES NEED TO BE DETERMINED BY
- 18 REFERENCE TO ECOLOGY NOT POLITICS. THAT'S -- I KNOW A
- 19 POLITICAL COMMENT, BUT NOSS ACTUALLY ADDRESSES IT IN
- 20 HIS LITERATURE AS WELL.
- 21 AND RESERVES THAT ARE SURROUNDED BY
- 22 LANDS WITH LOW-INTENSITY DEVELOPMENT TEND TO FAIR MUCH
- 23 BETTER THAN RESERVES SURROUNDED BY HIGH-INTENSITY
- 24 DEVELOPMENT, SUCH AS HOUSING SUBDIVISIONS.
- 25 WE ALSO RECOMMEND TO YOU A RECENT

- 1 JOURNAL ARTICLE OUT OF CONSERVATION BIOLOGY IN 2001
- 2 ENTITLED A METHOD FOR SETTING THE SIZE AND PLANT
- 3 CONSERVATION TARGET AREAS. AND IT LOOKS AT ECOLOGY OF
- 4 DIFFERENT SPECIES AND HOW BEST -- WITH LACK OF
- 5 INFORMATION ON IT, HOW BEST TO CRAFT A CONSERVATION
- 6 AREA THAT ALLOWS FOR VARIABLE EVALUATION OF DISTINCTION
- 7 POTENTIAL.
- 8 AND THEN, LASTLY, ADDITIONAL CONCERNS
- 9 ABOUT THE SPINEFLOWER CONSERVATION PLAN IS THAT A MAP
- 10 OF THE CURRENT KNOWN LOCATIONS JUXTAPOSE WITH THE
- 11 PROPOSED RESERVE AREAS WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL, IF NOT
- 12 ESSENTIAL, AND A QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF THE NUMBER
- 13 OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE CURRENT KNOWN LOCATIONS -- THAT
- 14 INFORMATION HASN'T BEEN AVAILABLE -- ASSURANCES THAT
- 15 THE RESERVES ARE FIRMLY PROTECTED AND ADEQUATELY
- 16 MANAGED, SPECIFIC COMMITMENTS TO FUNDING FROM
- 17 MANAGEMENT OF THE PRESERVES, INCREASE THE HABITAT VALUE
- 18 OF THE PRESERVES OVER TIME, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR
- 19 THE PRESERVES, MONITORING OF THE POPULATIONS TO
- 20 EVALUATE TRENDS AND TRIGGERS FOR IF THERE IS PROBLEMS
- 21 ON THE PRESERVES AND IMMEDIATE TRIGGER REQUIREMENTS FOR
- 22 TIMELY ACTIONS TO TRY TO SOLVE THOSE PROBLEMS.
- NO FIRE CLEARANCE OR FUEL MODIFICATION
- 24 ZONES SHOULD BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE PRESERVE, AND AN
- 25 INVASIVE SPECIES CONTROL PLAN ALSO NEEDS TO BE INCLUDED

- 1 AS A PART OF THAT.
- THEN I JUST ALSO WANTED TO COMMENT ON A
- 3 COUPLE OF THINGS FROM YOUR PRESENTATION, WHICH I REALLY
- 4 DON'T ENJOY. I REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW -- WHAT THE
- 5 50-YEAR TIME LINE IS ON THIS IF WE'RE CONSERVING THESE
- 6 -- IT SEEMS LIKE THE NOTION WOULD BE IF THE DEVELOPMENT
- 7 IS GOING TO HAPPEN, THEY NEED TO BE PRESERVED IN
- 8 PERPETUITY, NOT FOR 50 YEARS.
- 9 ALSO, GENERALLY SPEAKING, THE C.N.P.S.
- 10 OPPOSES THE USE OF BUFFERS. WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE
- 11 PRESERVES BE DESIGNED TO BE ADEQUATE TO ELIMINATE THE
- 12 NEED FOR THOSE. OFTENTIMES THOSE CAN GET CONFUSED WITH
- 13 BEING INSIDE THE PRESERVE OR OUTSIDE THE PRESERVE. SO
- 14 LET'S JUST GET RID OF THEM. LET'S NOT EVEN HAVE
- 15 BUFFERS.
- 16 I THINK THAT I HAVE SOME OTHER
- 17 QUESTIONS, BUT I'LL FOLLOW UP WITH THAT. THANK YOU
- 18 VERY MUCH.
- MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.
- MR. BOTTORFF.
- 21 AFTER MR. BOTTORFF, KATHRINE SQUIRES
- 22 WILL BE THE NEXT SPEAKER.
- MR. BOTTORFF: MY NAME IS RON BOTTORFF,
- 24 B-O-T-T-O-R-F-F.
- 25 I JUST WANT TO COMMENT THAT I GO BACK A

- 1 LONG WAYS ON THIS RIVER, STARTING WITH THE SANTA CLARA
- 2 RIVER ENHANCEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN. WE WORKED FOR MANY
- 3 YEARS ON THE BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE LOOKING
- 4 AT THE HABITATS THAT COME DOWN THE RIVER. WE END UP
- 5 GIVING THIS AREA OF THE RIVER OUR SECOND HIGHEST
- 6 CONSERVATION RATING BECAUSE OF THE RESOURCES IN THE
- 7 AREA.
- 8 NOW, THE PLAN HAS NO JURISDICTION ON THE
- 9 AREA, SO ONCE THE PROPOSED PERMITS ARE IN PLACE, THEY
- 10 EFFECTIVELY BECOME THE RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN. AND
- 11 GIVEN THAT FACT, THE MANY ISSUES OF CONCERN REMAIN TO
- 12 BE RESOLVED, AND THIS ASPECT IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO US.
- 13 I REVIEWED THE ORIGINAL DRAFT D.I.S./D.I.R. PUBLISHED
- 14 IN 1998, AND HERE ARE SOME OF THE AREAS OF CONTROVERSY
- 15 AND ISSUES THAT WERE LISTED THERE:
- 16 WHAT IS THE LONG-TERM EFFECT OF
- 17 STORMWATER RUNOFF ON THE UNARMORED THREE SPINE
- 18 STICKLEBACK?
- 19 WHAT IS THE LONG-TERM EFFECT OF BANK
- 20 PROTECTION ON THE SEDIMENT DYNAMICS OF THE RIVER?
- 21 CAN RIPARIAN RESTORATION BE SUCCESSFUL?
- 22 WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF BANK PROTECTION ON
- 23 GROUNDWATER RECHARGE?
- 24 WHY CAN'T ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF BANK
- 25 PROTECTION BE USED ON THE RIVER?

- 1 WHAT IS THE JUSTIFICATION FOR
- 2 ENCROACHMENT IN THE RIVER WHEN THERE ARE UNDEVELOPED
- 3 UPLANDS IN THE SURROUNDING AREAS?
- 4 WE SUGGEST ALMOST ALL THESE CONCERNS
- 5 REMAIN VALID TODAY, JUST AS THEY WERE SEVEN YEARS AGO.
- 6 AS ILEENE HAS MENTIONED, REGARDING THIS
- 7 REPORT BY U.C.L.A. ON THE EFFECT OF WETLAND MITIGATION,
- 8 IMPACTS ARE ALMOST ALWAYS REDUCED TO LEVELS
- 9 INSIGNIFICANT OR NONSIGNIFICANCE BASED ON MITIGATION.
- 10 SO THIS IS A VERY DISTURBING REPORT. 96 PERCENT WERE
- 11 JUDGED NOT OPTIMAL.
- 12 SO IT'S ANOTHER PRIME REASON THAT A VERY
- 13 CONSERVATIVE APPROACH NEEDS TO BE TAKEN WHEN PERMITTING
- 14 ACTIVITY ALONG THE STREAM COURSES, WHICH INVOLVES
- 15 SENSITIVE HABITATS.
- 16 WE WOULD ASK THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS
- 17 CONCERNING MITIGATION SUCCESS OVER THE PAST FIVE OR SIX
- 18 YEARS SINCE THE FIRST ROUND OF 404/1603 PERMITS UNDER
- 19 THE N.R.M.P. HAVE BEEN IN PLACE:
- 20 ONE, HAS AN ANALYSIS OF MITIGATION
- 21 SUCCESS BEEN MADE?
- TWO, THE 1603 PERMIT, WHICH RUNS FOR
- 23 FIVE YEARS, IS AUTOMATICALLY RENEWED UNLESS THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT DETERMINES -- FISH AND GAME -- DETERMINES
- 25 CONDITIONS -- THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN

- 1 CONDITIONS.
- 2 HAS AN ANALYSIS BEEN MADE TO DETERMINE
- 3 WHETHER, IN FACT, SUCH A CHANGE HAS OCCURRED OR IS IN
- 4 THE MAKING.
- 5 THIRD, WHAT LEVEL OF ACCURACY AND
- 6 ADEQUACY IS EVIDENT IN THE ANNUAL MITIGATION STATUS
- 7 REPORTS THAT ARE REQUIRED UNDER MEASURE 505N IN THE
- 8 RIPARIAN HABITAT MITIGATION PROGRAM UNDER THE N.R.M.P.
- 9 ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE HAVE
- 10 CONSISTENTLY PUSHED OVER THE YEARS IS THE NEED FOR
- 11 LARGER RIPARIAN BUFFER ZONES.
- 12 WE HAVE ALREADY SUPPLIED IN PREVIOUS
- 13 COMMENTS TWO SCIENTIFIC PAPERS SUPPORTING OUR POSITION,
- 14 ONE OF WHICH SHOWS THAT URBAN EDGE EFFECTS BREACHED THE
- 15 INTERIOR OF THE PRESERVE OVER A MILE IN WIDTH, WHICH IS
- 16 MUCH WIDER THAN THE RIVER'S RIPARIAN CORRIDOR.
- 17 WE NOTE THAT THE DEPARTMENT, IN PAST
- 18 COMMENTS ON NEWHALL RANCH SPECIFIC PLAN RECOMMENDED --
- 19 ITSELF RECOMMENDED A 500-FOOT BUFFER ZONE, AND THAT IS
- 20 SURELY IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION.
- 21 FRIENDS REMAIN PARTICULARLY CONCERNED
- 22 ABOUT CUMULATIVE IMPACTS OF MULTIPLE, LARGE DEVELOPMENT
- 23 PROJECTS COVERED BY THE N.R.M.P. -- THE CURRENT
- 24 N.R.M.P. AND, OF COURSE, OUR CONCERN CONTINUES INTO
- 25 THE NEW ONE. THIS AREA IS HEADED FOR A POPULATION OF

- 1 OVER 500,000 PEOPLE. WE DO NOT BELIEVE AN ADEQUATE
- 2 ANALYSIS OF SUCH IMPACTS HAVE BEEN DONE AND THAT THE
- 3 MITIGATION FOR THESE PROJECTS IS NOT SECURING EFFECTIVE
- 4 COMPENSATION.
- 5 WE ALSO BELIEVE, GIVEN THE MANY CONCERNS
- 6 ABOUT THE IMPACT OF THE SANTA CLARA RIVER AND
- 7 TRIBUTARIES, THAT IT IS VERY INADVISABLE TO GRANT
- 8 ANOTHER 20-YEAR-TYPE PERMIT FOR RIVER ALTERATION
- 9 ACTIVITIES TO BE DONE FROM THE NEWHALL RANCH PROJECT ON
- 10 THE UPCOMING E.I.S./E.I.R.
- 11 SUCH A 20-YEAR PERMIT DOES NOT ALLOW FOR
- 12 UNANTICIPATED CHANGES IN RIVER AND HABITAT CONDITIONS
- 13 AND CLOSES OFF ALL PUBLIC INPUT FOR 20 YEARS, WHICH IS
- 14 WAY TOO LONG TO CLOSE OUT THE PUBLIC.
- 15 WE WILL SUBMIT FURTHER COMMENTS, BUT WE
- 16 THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THESE COMMENTS
- 17 TONIGHT.
- MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.
- 19 KATHRINE SQUIRES.
- 20 MS. SQUIRES: GOOD EVENING.
- 21 MR. ALLEN: EXCUSE ME. THE NEXT SPEAKER
- 22 WILL BE MR. TED MOORE.
- MS. SQUIRES: GOOD EVENING. MY NAME IS
- 24 KATHRINE SQUIRES, AND I AM A LIFE-LONG SANTA CLARITA
- 25 VALLEY RESIDENT. I AM ALSO A LOCAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

- 1 TEACHER. I AM HERE TONIGHT TO VOICE MY CONCERNS OVER
- 2 THE PROPOSED NEWHALL RANCH DEVELOPMENT.
- 3 BASICALLY THIS DEVELOPMENT IS COMPLETELY
- 4 UNSUITABLE FOR HOMES AND BUSINESSES. THIS AREA IS JUST
- 5 NOT SUITABLE AND HERE IS WHY:
- 6 FIRST OF ALL, SANTA CLARA RIVER RUNS
- 7 THROUGH THIS PROPERTY, WHICH IS THE ONLY LAST, WILD,
- 8 FLOWING RIVER. IT'S ONE OF THE TEN MOST ENDANGERED
- 9 RIVERS.
- 10 SECONDLY, THE DESTRUCTION OF HABITAT AND
- 11 SPECIES -- UNIQUE SPECIES AND PLANTS CANNOT BE
- 12 REPLACED -- WHICH CANNOT BE REPLACED.
- 13 THIRDLY, THERE IS INCREASED AIR
- 14 POLLUTION FROM ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC EVERY DAY IN THIS
- 15 VALLEY, AND ADDING 21,000 MORE UNITS WILL ONLY MAKE IT
- 16 WORSE. IF THIS PROJECT IS APPROVED, THERE WILL BE, NO
- 17 DOUBT, A CAUSE, A DRAMATIC INCREASE IN VALLEY FEVER,
- 18 ASTHMA, AND ALLERGIES FOR CHILDREN AND ADULTS IN THIS
- 19 COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES.
- 20 AND FOURTH, AND PERHAPS MY MOST
- 21 IMPORTANT REASON FOR SPEAKING TONIGHT ABOUT DENYING
- 22 THIS PROJECT, IS THAT IT IS IN A VERY INAPPROPRIATE
- 23 AREA FOR BUILDING HOMES.
- 24 FIRST OF ALL, THE AREA IS VERY YOUNG,
- 25 GEOLOGICALLY SPEAKING. JUST TWO MILLION YEARS AGO,

- 1 THIS LAND, RIGHT NOW WHERE WE ARE STANDING AND
- 2 ENCOMPASSING THE NEWHALL RANCH AREA, WAS AT THE OCEAN'S
- 3 EDGE.
- 4 EVER SINCE THE OCEAN HAS BEEN RETREATING
- 5 WEST, DUE TO CONTINENTAL UPLIFT. SIMILAR TO THAT IS
- 6 THE CREATION OF HIMALAYAS. THIS IS DUE TO A
- 7 COMPRESSION OF PLATES. THIS MEANS THAT ROCKS ARE
- 8 DRAMATICALLY RISING AT A RATE OF SPEED THAT IS
- 9 ABSOLUTELY INCREDIBLE. YOU CAN FIND SHARK TEETH AND
- 10 OYSTER FOSSILS A THOUSAND FEET UP ON THE MOUNTAINS IN
- 11 THIS AREA.
- 12 THIS AREA IS TECHNICALLY ACTIVE. BY
- 13 THAT I MEAN MAJOR FAULTS. THERE ARE TWO MAJOR FAULTS
- 14 GOING THROUGH IN THIS AREA -- THE OAKRIDGE AND THE SAN
- 15 CAYETANO FAULT. THERE IS ALSO EMERGING VARIOUS OTHER
- 16 FAULTS, AND YOU CAN SEE THESE ON ANY GEOLOGIC MAP,
- 17 WHICH I BROUGHT WITH ME THIS EVENING. I DID NOT SEE
- 18 ANY OF THESE FAULTS ON THE MAPS THAT YOU HAVE PROVIDED.
- 19 THESE FAULTS ARE MAJOR, ACTIVE TETONIC
- 20 SYSTEMS. YOU CAN SEE EVIDENCE OF THIS ALONG THE 126
- 21 FREEWAY WHERE YOU SEE ABRUPTLY UPTURNED ROCKS. IN
- 22 FACT, IN THE '94 EARTHQUAKE, THERE WAS SO MUCH UPLIFT
- 23 IN THAT AREA, WHERE THE NEWHALL RANCH IS BEING
- 24 PROPOSED, THAT THE LAND WENT UP ONE-AND-A-HALF FEET.
- 25 SPEAKING OF EARTHQUAKES, LET'S NOT

- 1 FORGET THE PICO CANYON EARTHQUAKE OF 1893, CAUSED
- 2 DAMAGE SO EXTENSION THAT IT WENT ALL THE WAY TO THE
- 3 SAGUS, CASTAIC, AND NEWHALL AREAS. I CAN ONLY IMAGINE
- 4 HOW DEVASTATING IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IF THERE HAD BEEN
- 5 21,000 HOMES THERE.
- 6 ALSO THE LAND IS MADE OF MUD, STONE, AND
- 7 OTHER POORLY CONSOLIDATED MATERIALS, WHICH SUPPORTS
- 8 WATER AND HAS FAILED UNDER ITS OWN HEAVY WEIGHT. THIS
- 9 AREA IS PRONE TO MUDSLIDES, WHICH COULD BE SIMILAR TO
- 10 THE LA CONCHITA IF THERE WERE HOMES AND BUSINESSES IN
- 11 THAT AREA. THIS IS ESPECIALLY TRUE DUE TO THE STEEP
- 12 SLOPES.
- 13 THIS INFORMATION HAS BEEN KNOWN SINCE
- 14 THE 1960'S, AS MAPPED BY THE CALIFORNIA STATE DIVISION
- 15 OF MINES AND GEOLOGY. AS I SAID, AGAIN, I HAVE THE MAP
- 16 WITH ME IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AT IT. THIS IS VERY
- 17 WELL-KNOWN AS BEING AN AREA THAT IS UNSUITABLE FOR
- 18 LARGE SCALE DEVELOPMENT.
- 19 WHEN YOU COMBINE THE DAMAGE TO THE
- 20 ECOSYSTEM, AIR QUALITY, AND THE RIVER, AND THEN ADD IN
- 21 THE DRAMATIC POTENTIAL FOR DAMAGE DUE TO LANDSLIDES AND
- 22 EARTHQUAKES, IT'S ABSOLUTELY UNTHINKABLE THAT THIS
- 23 PROJECT IS EVEN BEING CONSIDERED.
- 24 THANK YOU.
- MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.

- 1 NEXT WILL BE MR. TED MOORE.
- THE FOLLOWING SPEAKER WILL BE PATTI
- 3 WALKER.
- 4 MR. MOORE: HI. MY NAME IS TED MOORE,
- 5 MOORE AND COMPANY; M-O-O-R-E.
- 6 I'M REPRESENTING THE OWNERS OF THE
- 7 TRAVEL VILLAGE, WHICH IS PROBABLY THE ONLY PRIVATELY
- 8 OWNED PIECE. NEWHALL BASICALLY SURROUNDS THE ENTIRE
- 9 PROJECT. THE ADDRESS THERE IS 27946 HENRY MAYO DRIVE.
- 10 WE'VE BEEN IN DISCUSSION WITH THE
- 11 NEWHALL FOLKS FOR THE LAST 12 MONTHS OR SO, ESPECIALLY
- 12 OVER THE ISSUE OF COMMERCE CENTER DRIVE, TRYING TO
- 13 OBTAIN FROM THE OWNERS OF THE PROJECTS ABOUT FOUR ACRES
- 14 OF PROPERTY TO EXTEND COMMERCE CENTER DRIVE OVER AND
- 15 ACROSS THE RIVER TO THE OTHER SIDE FOR FUTURE
- 16 EXPANSION.
- 17 WE ARE QUITE CONCERNED ABOUT THE IMPACT
- 18 UPON OUR ACCESS TO THE PROPERTY, AS WELL AS THE IMPACT
- 19 ALONG THE RIVER EDGE -- THE RIVER FRONTAGE OF OUR OWN
- 20 PROPERTY. THE WORK THEY WILL BE DOING JUST TO THE WEST
- 21 OF THE BRIDGE IS GOING TO BE EXPENSIVE BANK
- 22 STABILIZATION AND ALL LEADING TO THE EDGE OF THE STREAM
- 23 BED.
- 24 SO WE HAVE OTHER, OBVIOUS, ECONOMIC
- 25 CONCERNS: BY THE INSTALLATION OF COMMERCE CENTER DRIVE

- 1 IN THE MANNER THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED IT, BASICALLY,
- 2 DRAMATICALLY REDUCES THE OUALITY OF ACCESS INTO THIS
- 3 PROJECT. SO THERE IS A MAJOR IMPACT ECONOMICALLY FROM
- 4 THE LOCATION OF WHAT THEY ARE PROPOSING TO US.
- 5 WE ALSO HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT FUTURE
- 6 POTENTIAL USES OF THE PROPERTY. THE OWNERS HAVE NOT A
- 7 DESIRE -- I MEAN, THEY'RE GOING TO BE RUNNING THIS AS
- 8 WHAT IT IS TODAY -- A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE TRAVEL
- 9 VILLAGE LOCATION. IT'S BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL. AND IT'S
- 10 VERY POPULAR IN THE AREA, AND THAT DEFINITELY SERVES A
- 11 WONDERFUL PURPOSE. BUT THEY'RE LOOKING DOWN THE ROAD
- 12 AT THEIR FUTURE RIGHTS.
- 13 ARE THOSE GOING TO BE IMPACTED OR TAKEN
- 14 AWAY IN ANY WAY? TO CHANGE THE USE IN THE FUTURE, STAY
- 15 THE SAME AS THEY ARE? THEY'RE JUST NOT SURE WHAT'S
- 16 GOING TO HAPPEN.
- 17 SO I THINK WE'RE RESPECTFULLY REQUESTING
- 18 THAT THE SCOPE OF THE WORK -- AND I KNOW THIS IS MORE
- 19 FOCUSED ON ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES -- BE EXPANDED SO THAT
- 20 IT REALLY ANALYZES THE PHYSICAL AND/OR ECONOMIC IMPACTS
- 21 ON OUR PROPERTY AS WELL.
- 22 SO THAT WOULD BE OUR REQUEST. WE WILL
- 23 SUBMIT OUR REQUEST IN WRITING AS WELL.
- 24 THANK YOU.
- MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- 1 PATTI WALKER.
- 2 AFTER PATTI WALKER, THE NEXT SPEAKER
- 3 WILL BE BARBARA WAMPOLE.
- 4 MS. WALKER: GOOD EVENING. MY NAME IS
- 5 PATTI WALKER, AND THAT'S WITH AN "I."
- 6 AS YOU'VE ALREADY HEARD, WASHINGTON D.C.
- 7 HAS DETERMINED THAT THIS SANTA CLARA RIVER IS ONE OF
- 8 AMERICA'S TEN MOST ENDANGERED RIVERS.
- 9 THE PROJECT THAT YOU ARE SCOPING TONIGHT
- 10 IS ONLY ONE OF SEVERAL PROJECTS THAT ARE PLANNED ALONG
- 11 SANTA CLARA RIVER. THE ARMY CORPS AND CALIFORNIA
- 12 DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME NEEDS TO LOOK AT THE
- 13 CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF ALL THE PROJECTS THAT ARE PLANNED.
- 14 THE HERITAGE VALLEY PARK PROJECT,
- 15 DOWNSTREAM OF THIS PROJECT IN FILLMORE, ALSO IS
- 16 REQUIRING A 404 PERMIT, BUT ONLY AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 17 ASSESSMENT IS BEING PLANNED ON THAT PROJECT, AND I
- 18 WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY.
- 19 WITH SANTA CLARA RIVER WATERSHED
- 20 FEASIBILITY STUDY, THE WORK THAT'S BEING UNDERTAKEN
- 21 WITH THE ARMY CORPS AS A MAIN PARTNER IN THAT STUDY,
- 22 THE INFORMATION THAT YOU ARE GATHERING WILL HAVE A
- 23 DIRECT EFFECT AND AN IMPACT ON YOUR E.I.S.
- 24 AS A RESULT OF THAT WORK, MANY QUESTIONS
- 25 REMAIN UNANSWERED AS TO THE EFFECT THAT THIS PROJECT

- 1 AND THOSE THAT ARE PLANNED DOWNSTREAM ALONG THE RIVER
- 2 WILL HAVE, NOT JUST TO THE WATER QUALITY, BUT THE
- 3 ENDANGERED SPECIES AND THE AIR QUALITY, NOT HERE, JUST
- 4 IN THE SANTA CLARA VALLEY BUT DOWNSTREAM IN PIRU,
- 5 FILLMORE, AND THE REST OF VENTURA COUNTY.
- 6 PLEASE KEEP THAT IN MIND. THANK YOU.
- 7 MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.
- 8 AFTER BARBARA WAMPOLE, LYNNE PLAMBECK
- 9 WILL BE THE NEXT SPEAKER.
- MS. WAMPOLE: MY NAME IS BARBARA
- 11 WAMPOLE, W-A-M-P-O-L-E.
- 12 THANK YOU FOR HAVING THIS HEARING
- 13 TONIGHT. I WOULD JUST LIKE US TO PONDER THE IDEA OF
- 14 PREVIOUSLY THOUGHT TO BE EXTINCT. IT'S PROBABLY A MUCH
- 15 BIGGER CONCEPT THAN WE GIVE IT CREDIT FOR. IT JUST
- 16 SORT OF ROLLS OFF THE TONGUE EASILY. AND I THINK IT'S
- 17 A PRESUMPTION TO THINK THAT SOMEHOW IN THE SHORT TERM
- 18 THAT WE LOOK AT SOMETHING LIKE THE LENGTH OF THIS
- 19 PROJECT OR EVEN A 50-YEAR CONSERVATION PLAN, THAT WE
- 20 SHOULD EVEN IMAGINE THAT.
- 21 I MEAN, I THINK IT'S ACTUALLY ARROGANT
- 22 FOR US TO EVEN IMAGINE THAT WE CAN CONCEIVE OF THIS
- 23 ACTUALLY BEING RECOVERY, WHEN YOU CONSIDER THAT AT THIS
- 24 TIME THERE ARE CATTLE GRAZING ON THE ACTUAL SITE OF ONE
- 25 OF THE AREAS THAT IS CONSIDERED TO BE PART OF THE

- 1 PRESERVE FOR THE FUTURE. THAT IN ITSELF SORT OF
- 2 CHALLENGES OUR COMMITMENT TO THAT IDEA.
- 3 AND THE IDEA THAT EVEN ON THE WESTRIDGE
- 4 PROJECT, WHERE I WOULD ASSUME THAT WE WON'T BE
- 5 CONSIDERING THE POSSIBILITY, GOATS ARE BEING USED IN
- 6 THE CONSERVATION AREA, I BELIEVE, FOR FIRE SUPPRESSION.
- 7 SO ANY GROUND THAT WAS COVERED WITH ANY
- 8 KIND OF NATURAL SPECIES THAT MIGHT BE CONSIDERED WORTH
- 9 PRESERVING, WE'RE NOT -- IT WASN'T LIKE THOSE GOATS
- 10 WERE LOOKING BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT PLANTS AND SAYING,
- 11 WELL, THIS ONE I WON'T EAT BECAUSE IT'S ENDANGERED; AND
- 12 THIS ONE I WILL EAT BECAUSE IT'S GOOD FOR ME AND I LIKE
- 13 THE WAY IT TASTES.
- 14 SO I THINK WE SHOULD TAKE A LOT MORE
- 15 SERIOUSLY THE IDEA THAT THIS PLANT WAS CONSIDERED TO
- 16 BE -- PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED TO BE EXTINCT. AND ALSO TO
- 17 KEEP IN MIND THAT THIS WONDERFUL LITTLE SPECIES, THE
- 18 IVORY BILLED WOODPECKER, WAS ALSO PREVIOUSLY THOUGHT TO
- 19 BE EXTINCT.
- 20 AND JUST IN CASE ANYONE HASN'T SEEN
- 21 PICTURES, THIS IS A WONDERFUL PICTURE OF A YELLOW BIRD
- 22 ON SOMEONE'S ARM IN 1938, ALONG WITH A VERY FEW OF THEM
- 23 THAT HAVE BEEN SEEN IN THE LAST 70 YEARS.
- 24 WE JUST MIGHT THINK ABOUT THE FACT THAT
- 25 REGARDLESS OF HOW THIS ALL ENDS UP, THE SECRETARY OF

- 1 THE INTERIOR, GAYLE MORTON, SET ASIDE 5,000 ACRES JUST
- 2 FOR THAT ONE BIRD THAT THEY HAPPENED TO SEE IN HOPES
- 3 THAT THAT PARTICULAR SPECIES WOULD RECOVER, AND THAT
- 4 THEY HAVE SET ASIDE \$10 MILLION FOR THE RECOVERY OF
- 5 THAT SPECIES' HABITAT.
- 6 SO I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT WE TAKE
- 7 VERY, VERY SERIOUSLY THE IDEA THAT THIS IS A PREVIOUSLY
- 8 THOUGHT TO BE EXTINCT SPECIES AND THINK MOSTLY IN THE
- 9 DIRECTION OF ITS RECOVERY AND NOT EVEN IMAGINING THAT
- 10 WE ARE GOING TO ACHIEVE IT, MAYBE IN OUR LIFETIME, AND
- 11 WE HAVE TO DO SOME VERY SERIOUS THINGS IN ORDER TO MAKE
- 12 SURE THAT THAT HAPPENS.
- 13 I GUESS THERE'S NOT TOO MUCH ELSE I
- 14 REALLY WANT TO SAY EXCEPT FOR THE FACT THAT THE CORPS
- 15 AND OTHER AGENCIES ARE HARD PRESSED TO DO WHAT IT TAKES
- 16 TO ACTUALLY PRODUCE THE PERMITS THAT WE SEE -- THE KIND
- 17 OF PERMITS WE SEE BEFORE US TONIGHT. AND THIS ONE, IN
- 18 PARTICULAR, LIKE THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN, ARE
- 19 VERY UNUSUAL, IN FACT, TO GO FOR 20 YEARS WITHOUT ANY
- 20 PUBLIC REVIEW.
- 21 BUT ONE OF THE THINGS I'VE BEEN TOLD IN
- 22 THE PAST IS THAT THE AGENCIES ARE HARD PRESSED TO JUST
- 23 GET THEIR PERMITS ISSUED, AND THAT ENFORCEMENT OF THE
- 24 KINDS OF THINGS THAT ARE STIPULATED IN THESE PERMITS IS
- 25 HARD TO COME BY. MOST OF THE AGENCIES ARE

- 1 UNDERSTAFFED. AND I THINK WE NEED TO KEEP SERIOUSLY IN
- 2 MIND AS WELL THE IDEA THAT BEFORE WE ISSUE PERMITS, WE
- 3 REALLY DO HAVE TO SERIOUSLY THINK ABOUT WHETHER WE CAN
- 4 ENFORCE THEM.
- 5 THANK YOU.
- 6 MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.
- 7 LYNNE PLAMBECK.
- 8 TERESA SAVAIKIE WILL BE THE NEXT
- 9 SPEAKER.
- 10 MS. PLAMBECK: MY NAME IS LYNNE
- 11 PLAMBECK; L-Y-N-N-E, P-L-A-M-B-E-C-K. I'M HERE
- 12 REPRESENTING (UNINTELLIGIBLE) ORGANIZATION FOR PLANNING
- 13 IN THE ENVIRONMENT.
- 14 AT THE PREVIOUS HEARING, WE TALKED A LOT
- 15 ABOUT CONCERN OVER THE SO-CALLED NATURAL RIVER
- 16 MANAGEMENT PLAN NOT OPERATING AS IT SHOULD, TECH
- 17 ISSUES, AND THAT PERMITS, AH, PERMITTING PROCESS HAS
- 18 PRECLUDED GOOD PUBLIC REVIEW AND THAT 20 YEARS IS TOO
- 19 LONG. AND I WOULD JUST LIKE TO REITERATE ALL THOSE
- 20 STATEMENTS, AND ADD TO THEM, THAT AS YOU -- OR THIS
- 21 PERMITTING PROCESS, IT'S IMPERATIVE THAT YOU LOOK AT
- 22 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS OF CONCRETING TRIBUTARIES.
- 23 REGIONAL WATER QUALITY HAS DONE SOME
- 24 STUDIES TO SHOW THAT THE CONCRETE IS, IN FACT, CHANGING
- 25 THE P.H. AND WATER QUALITY. AND AS YOU KNOW OR

- 1 PROBABLY ARE AWARE, EARLIER THIS YEAR REGIONAL WATER
- 2 OUALITY CONTROL BOARD PASSED A RESOLUTION REGARDING
- 3 HYDRO MODIFICATIONS AND INDICATING THAT THEY INTENDED
- 4 TO LOOK VERY CLOSELY AT THEIR ISSUANCE OF A 401 PERMIT
- 5 TO ENSURE THAT THE BENEFICIAL USES WERE PRESERVED.
- 6 AND I WOULD JUST LIKE YOU TO MAKE SURE
- 7 THAT YOU CONSIDER THAT RESOLUTION THAT THEY PASSED
- 8 EARLIER THIS YEAR, SORT OF JUST THAT WE'RE GOING TO
- 9 ENFORCE OUR LAWS. AND IF EVERYBODY ENFORCED OUR LAWS,
- 10 A LOT OF WHAT HAPPENED IN THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT
- 11 PLAN WOULD NOT HAVE HAPPENED.
- 12 I WOULD LIKE TO SEE YOU INCLUDE AN
- 13 ALTERNATIVE OF THAT, THAT DOES NOT ALLOW CONCRETING OF
- 14 ANY OF THE TRIBUTARIES OR STREAMS, REQUIRES THAT
- 15 DEVELOPMENT TO BACK AWAY FAR ENOUGH SO THAT CONCRETING
- 16 WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED, AND THAT NO ALTERATION OF THE
- 17 RIVER ITSELF BE ALLOWED. MAYBE PROTECTING THE 500-YEAR
- 18 FLOOD PLAIN WOULD BE THE WAY TO DO THAT.
- 19 THE PERMIT SHOULD INCLUDE A -- SOME SORT
- 20 OF A WAY OF PUBLIC REVIEW AFTER THREE YEARS, JUST TO
- 21 MAKE SURE THAT WHAT WAS PERMITTED IS ACTUALLY OCCURRING
- 22 AND THAT OVERSIGHT AND GOOD MITIGATION, AS REQUIRED BY
- 23 THE PERMIT IS ACTUALLY OCCURRING BECAUSE THAT IS NOT
- 24 HAPPENING IN THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- 25 AND I THINK THAT A REVIEW OF WHAT IS

- 1 GOING IN THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN TODAY WOULD
- 2 PROVIDE A GOOD BASIS TO SEE WHERE THE PROBLEMS ARE IN
- 3 ANY NEW POTENTIAL PERMIT YOU MIGHT GIVE.
- 4 CHANNEL CLEARING, AS WE LOOKED AT,
- 5 AS THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER SAID, THE CHANNELS WOULD NEED
- 6 TO BE MAINTAINED. IF YOU ALLOWED CHANNELS TO BE
- 7 INSTALLED, THAT CREATES PROBLEMS OF ITS OWN AND
- 8 DESTRUCTION OF SPECIES.
- 9 THERE WAS A POLICY OF NONLOSS OF
- 10 WETLANDS, AND SOMEHOW THAT GOT CHANGED TO, WELL, IF YOU
- 11 CAN'T FIND A WETLANDS TO BUY TO REPLACE WHAT YOU'RE
- 12 LOSING, THEN THEY WILL LET YOU MITIGATE BY TAKING OUT A
- 13 (UNINTELLIGIBLE) ARUNDO. SO THE END RESULT IS, OF
- 14 COURSE, THE DEVELOPER WOULD MUCH PREFER TO TAKE OUT AN
- 15 ARUNDO THAN FIND ANY NEW LAND.
- 16 I DON'T THINK THAT MITIGATION SHOULD BE
- 17 ALLOWED. IT'S RESULTING IN LOSS OF WETLANDS ALL UP AND
- 18 DOWN THE SANTA CLARA RIVER. IT SHOULD BE -- ARUNDO
- 19 REMOVAL SHOULD BE IN ADDITION TO, NOT A SUBSTITUTION
- 20 FOR FINDING WETLANDS AND ACQUIRING WETLANDS. AND THE
- 21 WETLANDS REQUIREMENT SHOULD BE, AS YOU PREVIOUSLY HAVE
- 22 REQUIRED ON A TWO-TO-ONE BASIS, BUT THEN YOU NEVER MADE
- 23 THEM BUY THE LAND, SO WE'RE DOING ALL THIS ARUNDO
- 24 REMOVAL.
- 25 ALSO, I THINK ARUNDO REMOVAL IS GETTING

- 1 COUNTED TWICE. I THINK IT'S GETTING -- IT'S REQUIRED
- 2 FOR PERMITS, AND THEN SOMEHOW WE HAVE ALL THESE FEDERAL
- 3 GRANTS THAT ARE PAYING FOR IT. SO I DON'T EVEN THINK
- 4 IT'S WORKING AT MITIGATION.
- 5 IT'S WORKING AT THE PUBLIC'S EXPENSE
- 6 WHERE MITIGATION IS REQUIRED. SO THAT IF YOU NEED TO
- 7 DEVELOP A PROCESS SO THAT YOU CAN TRACK WHERE YOU --
- 8 WHAT MITIGATIONS YOU HAVE PERMITTED. BECAUSE WHATEVER
- 9 YOU'RE DOING, IT'S NOT WORKING WELL.
- 10 I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT THERE BE
- 11 SOMETHING IN THE MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS THAT WOULD
- 12 REQUIRE FUNDING OF AN INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT OR A
- 13 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION OR SOMETHING THAT WILL COME IN
- 14 AND SEE THAT THE MITIGATION IS ACTUALLY OCCURRING AND
- 15 THAT IT'S NOT BEING DOUBLE COUNTED. I MEAN, THAT
- 16 SHOULD BE YOUR JOB.
- 17 I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS HAPPENING, BUT IT'S
- 18 NOT HAPPENING THERE. THERE IS SO MUCH GOING ON ON THE
- 19 RIVER THAT REGULATORY AGENCY STAFF HAVE RELATED TO MANY
- 20 OF US THAT THEY HAVE TROUBLE KEEPING UP WITH IT. AND
- 21 AS YOU KNOW, THE SPECIES ARE NOT BEING PROTECTED.
- 22 SO WE WILL BE SUBMITTING WRITTEN
- 23 COMMENTS, BUT THAT'S JUST SOMETHING TO START WITH.
- 24 THANK YOU.
- MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.

- 1 TERESA SAVAIKIE.
- 2 AND LISA FIMIANI WILL BE NEXT.
- 3 MS. SAVAIKIE: TERESA SAVAIKIE;
- 4 T-E-R-E-S-A, S-A-V-A-I-K-I-E; REPRESENTING THE CENTER
- 5 FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY.
- 6 I WOULD LIKE TO LEAD OFF IN TALKING
- 7 ABOUT THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN, AND THE
- 8 FAILURES THOUGH THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ADDRESSED. I WOULD
- 9 LIKE TO SEE THAT THOSE FAILURES; SUCH AS, THE TRAIL
- 10 FAILURES THAT HAVE OCCURRED BY THE JEFFERSON
- 11 APARTMENTS, WITHIN THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN,
- 12 AND THE TRAIL FAILURES ALONG SAN YSIDRO CREEK.
- 13 WITHIN THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT
- 14 PLAN, BOTH AREAS HAD NOT HAD ANY FORM OF BANK
- 15 STABILIZATION. WE NOW UNDERSTAND THAT TAXPAYERS AND/OR
- 16 THROUGH SOME SORT OF MITIGATION THROUGH NEWHALL WILL BE
- 17 RESPONSIBLE FOR REPAIRING THOSE TRAILS.
- 18 WE HAVE A REAL ISSUE WITH THAT BECAUSE
- 19 NOW YOU ARE LOOKING TO HARDEN THOSE BANKS, WHERE
- 20 SENSITIVE SPECIES OCCUR, INCLUDING THE CALIFORNIA FULLY
- 21 PROTECTED UNARMORED THREE-SPINE STICKLEBACK, WHICH IS A
- 22 CALIFORNIA FULLY PROTECTED SPECIES.
- 23 THESE PERMITS KEEP BEING GRANTED BY THE
- 24 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO ALTER THE
- 25 HABITAT OF THESE SPECIES.

- 1 BUT I WANTED TO GET BACK TO THIS: THE
- 2 AGENCY DID NOT ADDRESS BANK STABILIZATION IN THESE TWO
- 3 REALLY SENSITIVE AREAS. AND NOW YOU'RE LOOKING AT SOME
- 4 FORM OF BANK STABILIZATION IN ORDER TO REBUILD THE
- 5 TRAILS. I WOULD CONSIDER THAT A GREAT AMENDMENT TO A
- 6 NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN, AND THEREFORE THERE
- 7 SHOULD BE PUBLIC REVIEW ADDRESSING THAT.
- 8 AND ANOTHER ISSUE IN RELATION TO THE
- 9 NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN IS THE FINDING OF THE
- 10 ARROYO TOAD AFTER THE APPROVAL OF THE NATURAL RIVER
- 11 MANAGEMENT PLAN. OF COURSE, WE WERE ABLE TO GO BACK,
- 12 YOU KNOW, BACK TO 1994 AND RECOGNIZE THAT NEWHALL LAND
- 13 WAS FULLY AWARE THAT THE SPECIES OCCURRED THERE
- 14 ALTHOUGH DENIED.
- 15 AND IN THE RECENT DOCUMENTATION FROM
- 16 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE, THEY STATE IN THE PROPOSED
- 17 CRITICAL HABITAT THAT THE N.R.P. DOESN'T PROTECT THE
- 18 ARROYO TOAD. SO WE'RE WONDERING WHY THAT ISN'T
- 19 CONSIDERED SOMETHING WE NEED TO BE REVIEWING, AND THE
- 20 PUBLIC HAS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW.
- 21 WHAT IS IT THAT TRIGGERS A REVIEW AS YOU
- 22 AMEND THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN AND/OR IGNORE
- 23 FEDERAL AGENCIES CHARGED WITH PROTECTING ENDANGERED
- 24 SPECIES. WHEN THEY PLAINLY STATE THAT THE PROJECT DOES
- 25 NOT PROTECT THE ARROYO TOAD, BUT YET YOU CONTINUE TO

- 1 ALLOW BANK STABILIZATION, MODIFICATION OF THE BANKS,
- 2 CLEARING OF SMALLER TRIBUTARIES, WHERE ARROYO TOADS ARE
- 3 KNOWN TO OCCUR.
- 4 I WOULD LIKE TO ALSO GO INTO -- AND SO,
- 5 THEREFORE, IN ORDER FOR NEWHALL LAND TO REALLY LOOK AT
- 6 THE CUMULATIVE IMPACT, THEY MUST ALSO LOOK AT THE
- 7 CUMULATIVE IMPACT UNDER THE NATURAL RIVER MANAGEMENT
- 8 PLAN, WHICH WERE NEVER REALLY, FULLY REALIZED UNTIL
- 9 JUST RECENTLY, AND EVEN THEN, I BELIEVE THERE IS
- 10 FURTHER IMPACT THAT WILL OCCUR. AND UNLESS YOU CHANGE
- 11 THE WAY YOU ARE WORKING, THE PUBLIC WON'T HAVE ANY
- 12 INPUT WHATSOEVER.
- 13 BEING THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE PAYING FOR
- 14 ALL THESE INFRASTRUCTURES, FAILURE TO -- IT'S A
- 15 TAXPAYER ISSUE TOO, AND THE PUBLIC DESERVES THE RIGHT
- 16 TO COMMENT AND LOOK AT THESE PROJECTS AND THE POTENTIAL
- 17 IMPACTS (UNINTELLIGIBLE) AND OUR OUALITY OF LIFE AND ET
- 18 CETERA, ET CETERA.
- 19 AND SO NEWHALL LANDS AND THIS JOINT
- 20 E.I.S./E.I.R. NEEDS TO ADDRESS AND LOOK AT, VERY
- 21 IMPORTANTLY IN MY OPINION, THE FAILURES WITHIN THE
- 22 N.R.P., OTHERWISE YOU REALLY WOULDN'T HAVE A CUMULATIVE
- 23 IMPACT ANALYSIS THAT WAS APPROPRIATE.
- 24 I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE A FURTHER
- 25 ANALYSIS FROM THE HEADWATERS TO THE OCEAN IN THIS JOINT

- 1 E.I.S./E.I.R. THAT LOOKS AT ALL THE PROJECTS IN THE
- 2 HEADWATERS.
- 3 YOU KNOW YOU HAVE SPRING CANYON, TICK
- 4 CANYON -- EVERYTHING THAT HAS GONE ON -- AND PLUM
- 5 CANYON CREEK, WHICH USED TO BE A CREEK BUT IS NOW A
- 6 CONCRETE DITCH. AH, ALSO, THERE IS RIVER PARK AND THE
- 7 SEVEN TRIBUTARIES THAT ARE BEING LOST AND/OR MODIFIED
- 8 AND OR RELOCATED UNDER THE NAME OF ENHANCEMENT.
- 9 THE TRIBUTARIES IN SAN YSIDRO CREEK THAT
- 10 WERE NEVER ADDRESSED, FISH AND GAME HAS RECORDS THAT
- 11 THEY WERE ACTUALLY BLUE LINE STREAM THAT NEWHALL CALLED
- 12 AGRICULTURAL DITCHES, WHERE NATIVE FISH AND AMPHIBIANS
- 13 WERE OBSERVED.
- 14 WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO FIND ANY
- 15 RESOLUTIONS TO THE LOSS OF THOSE TRIBUTARIES. AND WITH
- 16 THE LOSS OF 90 PERCENT OF OUR WETLANDS, IT SEEMS
- 17 EXTREMELY IMPORTANT THAT WE LOOK AT EVERY SINGLE
- 18 (UNINTELLIGIBLE) THAT'S BEING LOST AND, YOU KNOW,
- 19 WHETHER MINOR, LARGE, OR OTHERWISE, ADJACENT PONDS TO
- 20 ENSURE THAT WE HAVE SOMETHING LEFT SO THAT OUR RIVER
- 21 HABITAT DOESN'T END UP ON SOME ENDANGERED SPECIES LIST,
- 22 WHICH IT PROBABLY ALREADY SHOULD BE -- OUR HABITAT.
- 23 AND THE ARROYO -- ONE OTHER THING ABOUT
- 24 THE ARROYO TOAD IS THAT IT DOES REQUIRE UPLAND HABITAT.
- 25 AND FISH AND WILDLIFE POINTS OUT THAT THEY HAVE LOST

- 1 ALL OF THEIR -- OR ARE LOSING AND/OR HAVE LOST ALL OF
- 2 THEIR UPLAND HABITAT WITHIN THE NATURAL RIVER
- 3 MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- 4 WE DO BELIEVE THAT THE ARROYO TOAD
- 5 OCCURS DOWNSTREAM OF THE N.R.P. BECAUSE IT TRAVELS
- 6 UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM. AND ANY SCIENTIFIC PERSON
- 7 NEEDS TO TRULY ADMIT THAT UP FRONT. THEY'VE BEEN
- 8 TRACKED. THEY CAN TRAVEL ONE MILE IN ONE EVENING. THE
- 9 FISH AND GAME (UNINTELLIGIBLE) INFORMATION TO DETERMINE
- 10 THAT ARROYO TOADS DO OCCUR WITH THAT PROJECT SITE.
- 11 AND, LET'S SEE -- I ALSO WANTED TO
- 12 DISCUSS, YOU KNOW, CASTAIC LAKE WATER AGENCY HAS
- 13 RECENTLY CHANGED THEIR METHODS OF CLEANSING AND/OR
- 14 PURIFYING OUR WATER. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE D.I.R. --
- 15 THE JOINT E.I.S./D.I.R. ADDRESS CLOROMINE IN ITS IMPACT
- 16 TO NATIVE FISH AND AMPHIBIANS BECAUSE IT'S OUR
- 17 UNDERSTANDING THAT IT IS ACTUALLY MORE HARMFUL TO THE
- 18 SPECIES. SO WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO ABOUT THAT?
- 19 I ALSO HAVE AN ISSUE WITH RETENTION
- 20 PONDS THAT CONTINUE TO POP UP IN ALL OF THESE
- 21 DEVELOPMENTS. AND ALTHOUGH IT'S A REALLY GREAT IDEA TO
- 22 KEEP POLLUTION OUT OF THE RIVERS, IT'S BECOME A
- 23 BREEDING HABITAT FOR NONNATIVE AGGRESSIVE PREDATORS;
- 24 SUCH AS, THE AFRICAN FROG, WHICH IS BECOMING SO
- 25 PROMINENT THROUGHOUT THIS ENTIRE WATERSHED -- IN SANTA

- 1 PAULA, SANTA CLARITA, AND EVERYWHERE.
- 2 AND THESE RETENTION PONDS DO NOTHING BUT
- 3 SUPPORT THEIR HABITAT AND THEREFORE PREY UPON OUR OWN
- 4 ENDANGERED SPECIES.
- 5 ENFORCEMENT: FISH AND GAME, THE ARMY
- 6 CORPS -- I MEAN, FISH AND WILDLIFE, THE CITY OF SANTA
- 7 CLARITA, UNFORTUNATELY -- AND I RECOGNIZE EVERYBODY'S
- 8 RESOURCES ARE LIMITED -- HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO ENFORCE
- 9 MITIGATION.
- 10 OFF-ROAD VEHICLE USE CONTINUES UNABATED.
- 11 WE ARE TRYING, NOW, TO WORK WITH THE SHERIFF'S
- 12 DEPARTMENT TO ADDRESS OFF-ROAD VEHICLE USE BECAUSE
- 13 OBVIOUSLY THE AGENCIES ARE INCAPABLE OF DEALING WITH
- 14 THAT.
- 15 THIS HAS BECOME LIKE A -- THERE'S DRUG
- 16 DEALING GOING ON BELOW SOME OF THE AREAS, AND REALLY
- 17 THE RIVER IS BEING TRAMPLED. AND IF ALL THAT -- THERE
- 18 NEEDS TO BE SOME SORT OF ENFORCEMENT, AH, THAT MEANS
- 19 SOMETHING. IT'S JUST NOT SOMETHING IN WRITING. IT'S
- 20 NOT JUST A DOCUMENT FOR US TO LOOK AT THAT MAKES US
- 21 FEEL ALL WARM AND COZY, BUT IT'S SOMETHING THAT REALLY
- 22 PROTECTS WHATEVER LITTLE BIT YOU DECIDE TO LEAVE.
- 23 HOPEFULLY IT'S A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN YOU DID IN THE
- 24 N.R.P.
- 25 AND I GUESS, FOR NOW, WE WILL BE

- 1 SUBMITTING SOME COMMENTS IN WRITING, AH, BEFORE THE
- 2 5TH.
- 3 THANK YOU.
- 4 MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.
- 5 RIGHT NOW THIS IS THE LAST SPEAKER THAT
- 6 I HAVE, SO IF ANYBODY HAS BEEN MOTIVATED TO SPEAK, GET
- 7 A SPEAKER CARD TO ME A.S.A.P.
- 8 MS. FIMIANI: HELLO. MY NAME IS LISA
- 9 FIMIANI; F-I-M-I-A-N-I. I'M WITH AUDUBON CALIFORNIA.
- 10 I'M ON THE STATE BOARD. I'M ALSO WITH THE FRIENDS OF
- 11 THE SANTA CLARA RIVER. AND I WAS ACTUALLY HERE IN
- 12 FEBRUARY OF 2004, AND I SPOKE TO YOU ABOUT THE I.B.A.
- 13 AND THE FACT THAT THE SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY IS ONE
- 14 OF 150 I.B.A.'S IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
- ONE OF THE AREAS THAT I BIKE RIDE, WHERE
- 16 I LIVE IN CULVER CITY, IS THE BIONA CREEK BIKE PATH.
- 17 AND I CANNOT TELL YOU HOW IT PAINS MY HEART TO RIDE
- 18 THAT BIKE PATH BECAUSE IT IS COMPLETELY CEMENT LINED.
- 19 THERE WAS A TIME WHEN IT WAS COMPLETELY LINED BY
- 20 COTTONWOODS AND ARROYOS AND WILLOWS. IT USED TO BE
- 21 WHAT IS UP HERE. AND THAT WOULD BE THE FATE OF THE
- 22 SANTA CLARA RIVER IF YOU ALLOW ALL THIS DEVELOPMENT TO
- 23 GO THROUGH.
- 24 THERE ARE PORTIONS FROM THIS BOOK THAT I
- 25 READ LAST TIME -- I'M NOT GOING TO READ THEM ALL AGAIN

- 1 -- BUT I THINK THERE IS ONE PORTION IN PARTICULAR THAT
- 2 IS WORTHY OF READING ONCE AGAIN.
- 3 THIS BOOK WAS WRITTEN BY DAN COOPER, WHO
- 4 IS A BIOLOGIST, AND AN EXPERT IN BIRDS. AND THIS
- 5 CHAPTER IS ON SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY. IN THE SECTION
- 6 THAT TALKS ABOUT BIRDS, THE HABITAT ALONG THE SANTA
- 7 CLARA RIVER SUPPORTS THE LARGEST COMMUNITY OF RIPARIAN,
- 8 BIRDS BETWEEN THE SANTA YNEZ RIVER AND SANTA BARBARA
- 9 COUNTY AND THE PRADO BASIN IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY.
- 10 HE GOES ON TO SAY UNDER CONSERVATION
- 11 ISSUES, PORTIONS OF THE INTACT LOWLAND RIPARIAN BIRD
- 12 COMMUNITY OF THE SANTA CLARA RIVER ARE TITTERING ON THE
- 13 BRINK OF DISASTER, PARTICULARLY WITHIN THE LOS ANGELES
- 14 COUNTY. EVEN NOW MASSIVE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND
- 15 ASSOCIATED RIVER CHANNELIZATION HAVE ALL BUT BISECTED
- 16 THE RIVER INTO A LOWER AND AN UPPER SECTION.
- 17 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ARE AWARE -- I'M
- 18 SURE YOU ARE -- THAT 32 MILES OF THE L.A. RIVER IS
- 19 GOING TO BE RESTORED. I WOULD HATE TO THINK THAT 50
- 20 YEARS FROM NOW THERE ARE GOING TO BE PEOPLE NEEDING TO
- 21 UNDO THE DAMAGE THAT COULD BE DONE IF THIS KIND OF
- 22 DEVELOPMENT IS ALLOWED ALONG THE SANTA CLARA RIVER.
- 23 IT REALLY COMES DOWN TO ONE SIMPLE FACT:
- 24 NO DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE ALLOWED IN A FLOOD PLAIN, LET
- 25 ALONE A RIVER PLAIN.

KARYN ABBOTT & ASSOCIATES (213) 749-1234

- 1 THANK YOU.
- 2 MR. ALLEN: THANK YOU.
- 3 IF THERE ARE NO OTHER PEOPLE THAT WOULD
- 4 LIKE TO SPEAK, LET ME THANK EVERYBODY FOR COMING
- 5 TONIGHT. I WILL REITERATE THAT ALL OF THE COMMENTS
- 6 THAT WE RECEIVED TONIGHT, WE WILL HAVE A TRANSCRIPT.
- 7 THEY WILL BE CAREFULLY CONSIDERED AND INCLUDED AS WE
- 8 DEVELOP THE SCOPE FOR THIS DRAFT D.I.S./D.I.R.
- JUST TO REITERATE, YOU HAVE UNTIL
- 10 SEPTEMBER 5TH TO PROVIDE WRITTEN COMMENTS TO EITHER THE
- 11 CORPS OR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME.
- 12 AS WE ALLUDED IN OUR PRESENTATION, IT'S
- 13 ANTICIPATED THAT THE DRAFT D.I.S./D.I.R. WILL BE
- 14 AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT PROBABLY SOMETIME IN EARLY
- 15 2006, SO IN FOUR OR FIVE MONTHS.
- 16 AT THAT TIME, THERE WILL BE A 60-DAY
- 17 COMMENT PERIOD. WE WILL BE HAVING ANOTHER PUBLIC
- 18 HEARING WITH A COURT REPORTER FOR YOU TO PROVIDE INPUT
- 19 TO US ON THE ACTUAL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS CONTAINED IN
- 20 THAT DOCUMENT.
- 21 WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU ALL
- 22 FOR COMING, AND HAVE A GOOD EVENING. THANK YOU.
- 23 (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE
- 24 CONCLUDED.)

25

	KARYN ABBOTT & ASSOCIATES (213) 749-1234					
1	HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE					
2						
3	I, KATHLEEN KENDALL, A SHORTHAND HEARING					
4	REPORTER, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY					
5	CERTIFY:					
6	THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE TAKEN					
7	BEFORE ME ON AUGUST 24, 2004, AT THE PLACE HEREIN SET					
8	FORTH; THAT ANY WITNESSES IN THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS,					
9	PRIOR TO TESTIFYING, WERE PLACED UNDER OATH; THAT A					
10	VERBATIM RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS WAS MADE BY ME USING					
11	MACHINE SHORTHAND, WHICH WAS THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED					
12	UNDER MY DIRECTION; FURTHER, THAT THE FOREGOING IS AN					
13	ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION THEREOF.					
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER FINANCIALLY					
15	INTERESTED IN THE ACTION NOR A RELATIVE OR EMPLOYEE OF					
16	ANY ATTORNEY OF ANY OF THE PARTIES.					
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE THIS DATE					
18	SUBSCRIBED MY NAME.					
19						
20	DATED: SEPTEMBER 1, 2005					
21						
22	KATHLEEN KENDALL					
23	HEARING REPORTER					